

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,834	34 03/17/2004		Ben Meager	3772-7-CON	6460
22442	7590	10/18/2006		EXAMINER	
SHERIDA 1560 BROA		C	LAVINDER, JACK W		
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER,	CO 80202		3677		

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/803,834	MEAGER, BEN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jack W. Lavinder	3677	

	Jack W. Lavinder	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	corresponding number of finally rej		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:		(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 38 and 39. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-32,34-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Jack W Lavinder Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3677	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments to the claims change the scope of the claims that would require further consideration. After performing a brief search, prior art was found that could possibly read on the newly proposed claims. See US Patent 2658250.